



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

|   |               |                      |                     |                  |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/816,319  | 04/01/2004    | Matthew David Maddin | 418268847US         | 9120             |
| 45979   | 7590          | 10/10/2008           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| PERKINS COIE LLP/MSFT<br>P. O. BOX 1247<br>SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247 |               |                      | STRANGE, AARON N    |                  |
| ART UNIT  | PAPER NUMBER  |                      |                     |                  |
|   | 2453          |                      |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE   | DELIVERY MODE |                      |                     |                  |
| 10/10/2008  | PAPER         |                      |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |                                      |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/816,319 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>MADDIN ET AL. |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>AARON STRANGE     | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2453              |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Aaron Strange. (3) Maurice Pirio.

(2) Anthony Johnson. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 October 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 19, 24 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: Geyer.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Generally discussed the background of the invention and potential differences from the prior art of record. Potential amendments to distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art were also discussed, but no formal agreements were reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

**THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.** (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Aaron Strange/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2453